

CHUCK WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

V.

GARLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT,
ET AL.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


No. 3:13-cv-2880-M

ORDER

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations in this case. Plaintiff filed objections on September 20, 2013, and the District Court has made a *de novo* review of those portions of the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation to which objection was made. The objections are overruled, and the Court ACCEPTS the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel, incorporated within his objections, is **DENIED**.

The Court CERTIFIES that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). In support of this finding, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983).

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of October, 2013.



BARBARA M. G. LYNN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS